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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Item** | **Rating**  **(Integers only)** | **Comments** |
| **5= Excellent**  **4= Very Good**  **3= Good**  **2= Poor**  **1= Unacceptable** |
| G.1.a. Complexity of Topic | 3 | Reads more like a history of VR than how VR actually works and can be implemented |
| G.1.a. Depth of Research | 4 | Dug deep into the history of VR but not as much into the application of VR |
| G.1.a. Perceived understanding of Topic | 5 | As a presentation of VR’s history this shows a great understanding of what VR has gone through |
| F.2.a. Page Properties (see style guide) | 5 | Meets Requirements |
| F.2.a. Heading Style (see style guide) | 5 | Meets Requirements |
| F.2.a. Abstract Style (see style guide) | 5 | Meets Requirements |
| F.2.a. Body Properties (see style guide) | 5 | Meets Requirements |
| F.2.a. Headers Properties (see style guide) | 5 | Meets Requirements |
| F.2.a. Tables and Figures (see style guide) | 4 | I noticed a couple small spacing issues otherwise it all matched requirements |
| F.2.a. Endnotes and Acknowledgements (see style guide) | 5 | Meets Requirements |
| F.2.a. Body content: Clear, unambiguous sentences. | 5 | The sentences were clear |
| F.2.a. Number of references | 5 | Meets Requirements |
| F.2.a. Length between 8 and 10 pages | 5 | Meets Requirements |
| F.2.a. Abstract Content: After reading the paper does the abstract summarize the paper’s content? | 3 | Though the abstract does only include teasers of information in the journal, it misrepresents the perceived purpose of a History of VR |
| Topic relevant to  CS for CS411 / SE for SE411 | 4 | It is a topic that is growing in popularity and likely to continue |
| How could this paper be improved? | 5 | Expanding on the details of implementing VR would bring up the complexity of the paper and better fit the inferred theme from the abstract |
| What did you find most interesting in this paper? |  |  |